

Under the Patent and Trademark Act of 1995, no person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PTO/SB/21 (08-03)

Approved for use through 08/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

10

Application Number	10/049,860
Filing Date	05/02/2002
First Named Inventor	Ronald Kates
Art Unit	2121
Examiner Name	Hir, Joseph P.
Attorney Docket Number	GRUNP35

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information	
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter	
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	<input type="checkbox"/> Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund		
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application		<input type="checkbox"/> Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	IP Strategies Thomas M. Champagne
Signature	
Date	08/26/2005


## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name	Heather L. Pagella
Signature	
Date	08/26/2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.6. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.	Filing Date	First Named Inventor	Atty. Docket No.	Confirmation No.
10/049,650	05/02/2002	Ronald Kates	GRUNP35	7878
Invention		Examiner	Art Unit	
Method for Training a Neural Network		Hirl, Joseph P.	2121	

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE  
 Commissioner for Patents  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance included with the Notice of Allowability mailed May 26, 2005, please consider the following comments:

The Examiner's Statement of Reasons

The following is the examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance:

The cited prior art taken alone or in combination fails to teach the claims invention of a method for training a neural network, to include pruning, to identify a patient risk function based on patient data and stipulated in the specification in paragraph 5.3 based on the survival function  $S(t)$  wherein the task of the neural network is to model the curve of the risk function  $\lambda(t)$  in the same way as a series expansion characterized by:

$$\lambda_0 \cdot \exp[\sum_0 B_0(t) \cdot A_0]$$

where :

$\lambda_0$  is a scaling factor,

Application No. 10/049,650  
Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Page 2

$A_0$  represents parameters that are the response signals of the neurons  $N_0$  of the output layer of the neural network, and

$B_0(t)$  represents a set of base functions of the series expansion that enable good approximation to the actual curve of the risk function.

The closest prior art (Mehrotra, Elements of Artificial Neural Networks, MIT Press, 1997) teaches training and pruning of neural networks. Mehrotra does not teach training a neural network to represent a series expansion wherein data representing such series expansion is formulated from patient data in an exponential summation format to include pruning represented by the singular act of neuron removal.

#### Comments

The allowed independent claims are claims 12 and 24. Allowed claim 12 reads as follows:

12. A method for training a neural network in order to identify a patient risk function such that the structure of the neural network is simplified, wherein the neural network includes

- an input layer having a plurality of input neurons that receive input data,
- at least one intermediate layer having a plurality of intermediate neurons,
- an output layer having a plurality of output neurons that provide output signals, wherein the output signals define the patient risk function following a first occurrence of a disease on the basis of given training data records including objectifiable and metrologically captured data relating to the medical condition of a patient, and

Application No. 10/049,650  
Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Page 3

- a multiplicity of synapses, wherein each said synapse interconnects a first neuron of a first layer with a second neuron of a second layer, defining a data sending and processing direction from the input layer toward the output layer, wherein the method comprises:

identifying and eliminating synapses of the multiplicity of synapses that have an influence on the curve of the risk function that is less than a predetermined significance, including

determining pre-change output signals of the neural network,

selecting first and second sending neurons that are connected to the same receiving neuron by respective first and second synapses,

assuming a correlation of response signals from said first and second sending neurons to the same receiving neuron,

interrupting the first synapse and adapting in its place the weight of the second synapse,

determining post-change output signals of the neural network,

comparing the post-change output signals with the pre-change output signals, and

eliminating the first synapse if the comparison result does not exceed a predetermined level.

Thus, claim 12 does not recite training a neural network to represent a series expansion wherein data representing such series expansion is formulated from patient data in an exponential summation format to include pruning represented by the singular

Application No. 10/049,650  
Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Page 4

act of neuron removal. Specifically, claim 12 does not recite a patient risk function based on patient data based on the survival function  $S(t)$  wherein the task of the neural network is to model the curve of the risk function  $\lambda(t)$  in the same way as a series expansion characterized by  $\lambda_0 + \exp[\sum_0 B_0(t) + A_0]$ . Limitations of this type are not recited or suggested in claim 12 or in the dependent claims. Further, limitations of this type were not discussed in any of the examiner's actions, nor in any of the responses thereto, nor in any of the telephone interviews conducted between the undersigned and the examiner. It is respectfully submitted that no limitations of this type should be imputed to the claims based on the examiner's statement of reasons for allowance, and the claims should be given the broadest interpretation based on the literal meaning of the claims in view of the prosecution history prior to allowance.

Allowed claim 24 reads as follows:

24. A method for training a neural network in order to identify a patient risk function such that the structure of the neural network is simplified, wherein the neural network includes

- an input layer having a plurality of input neurons that receive input data,
- at least one intermediate layer having a plurality of intermediate neurons,
- an output layer having a plurality of output neurons that provide output signals, wherein the output signals define the patient risk function following a first occurrence of a disease on the basis of given training data records including objectifiable and metrologically captured data relating to the medical condition of a patient, and

Application No. 10/049,650  
Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Page 5

- a multiplicity of synapses, wherein each said synapse interconnects a first neuron of a first layer with a second neuron of a second layer, defining a data sending and processing direction from the input layer toward the output layer, wherein the method comprises:

identifying and eliminating synapses of the multiplicity of synapses that have an influence on the curve of the risk function that is less than a predetermined significance, including

determining pre-change output signals of the neural network,  
selecting a synapse,  
assuming that the selected synapse does not have a significant influence on the curve of the risk function,

interrupting the selected synapse,  
determining post-change output signals of the neural network,  
comparing the post-change output signals with the pre-change output signals,  
and  
eliminating the selected synapse if the comparison result does not exceed a predetermined level.

Thus, claim 24 does not recite training a neural network to represent a series expansion wherein data representing such series expansion is formulated from patient data in an exponential summation format to include pruning represented by the singular act of neuron removal. Specifically, claim 24 does not recite a patient risk function based on patient data based on the survival function  $S(t)$  wherein the task of the neural network

Application No. 10/049,650  
Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Page 6

is to model the curve of the risk function  $\lambda(t)$  in the same way as a series expansion characterized by  $\lambda_0 \cdot \exp[\sum_0 B_0(t) \cdot A_0]$ . Limitations of this type are not recited or suggested in claim 24 or in the dependent claims. Further, limitations of this type were not discussed in any of the examiner's actions, nor in any of the responses thereto, nor in any of the telephone interviews conducted between the undersigned and the examiner. It is respectfully submitted that no limitations of this type should be imputed to the claims based on the examiner's statement of reasons for allowance, and the claims should be given the broadest interpretation based on the literal meaning of the claims in view of the prosecution history prior to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Thomas M. Champagne  
Registration No. 36,478  
IP STRATEGIES  
12 ½ Wall Street  
Suite I  
Asheville, North Carolina 28805  
828.253.8600  
828.253.8620 fax

---

July 28, 2005

Date

TMC:hlp